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Abstract

Muscle strain injury remains a prevalent problem in recreational, collegiate, and professional sports, consuming a significant portion of sports medicine practice (24). Athletes participating in high-speed sports, which require sprinting near or at maximum speed, are especially susceptible to acute muscle strain injury (29). Although it has been well documented that the hamstring muscles are highly susceptible to acute injury while sprinting, the amount of local strain experienced by muscle tissue during sprinting and the mechanisms that lead to increased injury risk with speed remain unclear.

Animal models of muscle damage after eccentric contractions have established a strong connection between the magnitude of mechanical strain experienced by muscle and the extent of damage (28). Furthermore, animal experiments have demonstrated that the site of failure in muscle corresponds to the region of highest localized tissue strain (2). Experiments in animal tissue have also shown directly that muscle is most susceptible to damage when it is actively generating force and lengthening rather than passively lengthening (5,27). In vivo experiments during active lengthening in a running guinea fowl found a regionally dependent amount of tissue strain and an increase in local strain with running speed (9). The ideas set forth by animal models of contraction-induced muscle damage provide a strong basis for understanding mechanisms that potentially lead to clinical muscle strain injury; however, the direct application and relation of the results in hamstring muscle mechanics during sprinting at high speeds is relatively limited. One of the goals of the current study was to create computational models of the human hamstrings that allow us to predict localized muscle tissue strain while sprinting at high speeds.

Previous studies of the hamstring muscles during running or sprinting generally acquire external measurements of surface EMG, ground reaction forces, and/or marker-based joint kinematics (40,48). Multiple studies have confirmed that the biceps femoris long head (BFh) muscle, the most commonly injured hamstring muscle (25), is active and lengthening during running at high speeds. For simulations of sprinting, local fiber strain was nonuniform at all speeds, with the highest muscle tissue strain where injury is often observed (proximal myotendinous junction). At faster sprinting speeds, increases were observed with the amount of muscle undergoing larger strains predicted to increase, likely contributing to the BFh’s higher injury susceptibility at faster speeds.

Conclusions: At faster sprinting speeds, peak local fiber strain, fiber strain nonuniformity, and the amount of muscle undergoing larger strains are predicted to increase, likely contributing to the BFh muscle’s higher injury susceptibility at faster speeds.

Methods: Biceps femoris long head (BFh) muscle dimensions of 14 athletes were measured on magnetic resonance (MR) images and used to generate a finite-element computational model. The model was first validated through comparison with previous dynamic MR experiments. After validation, muscle activation and muscle–tendon unit length change were derived from forward dynamic simulations of sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% maximum speed; however, little is known about the mechanisms that make this muscle tissue more susceptible to injury at faster speeds. In vivo tissue strain measurements determined from dynamic MR experiments of the BFh. For simulations of sprinting, local fiber strain was nonuniform at all speeds, with the highest muscle tissue strain where injury is often observed (proximal myotendinous junction). At faster sprinting speeds, increases were observed in fiber strain nonuniformity and peak local fiber strain (0.56, 0.67, and 0.72 for sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% maximum speed). A histogram of local fiber strains showed that more of the BFh reached larger local fiber strains at faster speeds.
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Introduction

Proximal biceps femoris musculotendon strain injury has been well established as a common injury among athletes participating in sports that require sprinting near or at maximum speed; however, little is known about the mechanisms that make this muscle tissue more susceptible to injury at faster speeds. Purpose: This study aimed to quantify localized tissue strain during sprinting at a range of speeds. Methods: Biceps femoris long head (BFh) musculotendon dimensions of 14 athletes were measured on magnetic resonance (MR) images and used to generate a finite-element computational model. The model was first validated through comparison with previous dynamic MR experiments. After validation, muscle activation and muscle–tendon unit length change were derived from forward dynamic simulations of sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% maximum speed; however, little is known about the mechanisms that make this muscle tissue more susceptible to injury at faster speeds.
during the late swing phase of sprinting (13,47,50). As sprinting speed increases, previous studies have also shown that the BF muscle activity increases (19), and it does more negative work (i.e., absorbs more energy) (11). Counter to intuition, however, peak musculotendon strain remained invariant at top-end sprinting speeds (11). Although marker-based sprinting studies answer questions about potential injury conditions on the global muscle-tendon unit (MTU) level, injury occurs at the local muscle tissue level; therefore, the behavior of muscle tissue while sprinting may help explain muscle’s increased injury susceptibility at faster speeds.

Dynamic imaging and computational modeling have the potential to bridge the gap in knowledge between musculotendon dynamics derived from whole body mechanical models and measurements in animal models of contraction-induced muscle damage. For example, recent advances in dynamic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) permit strain measurement in muscle tissue during joint motion (52). Dynamic MRI experiments in the BFlh muscle have shown that tissue strain is larger during active compared with passive lengthening (16) and is highest near the BFlh’s myotendinous junction (MTJ) (44), which is where injury is frequently observed (1,46). The drawback of dynamic magnetic resonance (MR) experiments is that limitations in scanner bore size and the number of repetitions necessary for dynamic sequences constrain the amount of activation and lengthening that can be studied, which make testing hypotheses about increased strain and higher strain nonuniformity during high-load lengthening contraction infeasible. A recent computational modeling study demonstrated the importance of the BFlh’s architecture to its muscle tissue strain distribution and strain injury susceptibility (35); however, the model was not validated against in vivo strain measurement, and the simulations were based on non-specific, low levels of activation and muscle-tendon length change. No studies currently exist that show how the behavior of muscle tissue changes with sprinting speed. Understanding how muscle tissue behavior changes with sprinting speed will provide direct insight into muscle’s increased injury susceptibility at faster sprinting speeds.

The goals of this study were (i) to generate a finite-element model of the BFlh based on musculotendon dimensions of athletes participating in high-speed sports, (ii) to validate model predictions with in vivo dynamic MR experiments, and (iii) to use the model to predict how localized tissue strains in the BFlh are affected by sprinting speed.

METHODS

Finite-element mesh generation and fiber mapping. The dimensions of the finite-element computational mesh were based on the average musculotendon dimensions of the track-and-field athletes of University of Virginia. Fourteen athletes (7 men, height = 177 ± 9 cm, mass = 71 ± 10 kg) provided informed consent to participate in a study approved by the internal review board of the university. All athletes participated in high-speed events and had no history of acute hamstring strain injury. MR images were acquired with a Siemens Trio 3T MR scanner (Erlangen, Germany) using a Dixon sequence (repetition time = 7 ms, echo time = 2.45 ms, flip angle = 9°, 5-mm slice thickness, field of view = 375 × 500 mm, imaging matrix = 504 × 672) with a high spatial resolution (0.74 × 0.74 mm²). Axial plane images were acquired from the MTU’s origin at the hip to the insertion at the knee. The Dixon imaging sequence acquires separately an image when the signal from water and fat is in phase and when the signal from water and fat is out of phase, and the in-phase and out-of-phase images were combined to provide a high contrast between muscle, fat, and connective tissue but not suffer from chemical shift artifact (15).

BFlh musculotendon dimensions were measured directly on MR images using OsiriX Imaging Software (37) (Fig. 1A) or were derived from full segmentations of the muscle using custom in-house MATLAB (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA) software (Table 1). Width and thickness measurements were acquired directly on axial-plane images, whereas muscle length, which runs in the superior–inferior direction perpendicular to the axial imaging plane, was derived from full segmentations. Average musculotendon measurements were used to define the dimensions of the finite-element (FE) mesh, which included three materials (proximal tendon and aponeurosis, muscle, and distal tendon and aponeurosis) connected directly by coincident nodes. A previous modeling study found that a simplified FE computational model based on musculotendon measurements produced the same results as a segmentation-based subject-specific model (35). The FE computational mesh was generated using TrueGrid software (XYZ Scientific Applications) and included 2960 hexahedral elements and 2112 nodes. A convergence study of peak local strain was conducted to determine the number of elements to include in the computational mesh. Representative muscle fibers were mapped through the finite-element mesh from the fibers’ origin on the proximal aponeurosis to the fibers’ insertion on the distal aponeurosis (4). Muscle fibers were used to define the initial fiber direction at each element, which was an input to the constitutive model, and to calculate spatially varying local tissue strain.

Constitutive model of muscle and connective tissue. A full description of the constitutive model has been published previously (3). Briefly, muscle and connective tissue were modeled as transversely isotropic, hyperelastic, and quasi-incompressible (3,14,49). For a hyperelastic material, stress and strain are related through the following expression:

\[ S = 2 \times \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial C} \]

where \( S \) is the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress tensor, \( \Phi \) is the strain-energy density function, and \( C \) is the right Cauchy–Green strain tensor.

To use transverse isotropy, we defined a preferred direction at each finite element. For tendon, the preferred direction is defined along the length of the connective tissue, or in
the superior–inferior direction of the element. For muscle, this is defined by the direction of the muscle fiber and specifies the direction along which active stress is generated. The amount of activation linearly modulates muscle fiber’s force–length relationship (51). The amount of stretch in the direction of the fiber is called along-fiber stretch ($L$). For muscle and connective tissue, the relationship between passive along-fiber stretch and stress is characterized by a nonlinear toe region followed by a linear increase (51). Shear deformation along the fiber and across the fiber are represented by an exponential relationship between shear strain and stress (42). The materials are quasi-incompressible, which is enforced by highly penalizing changes in volume. Simulations were run with the nonlinear implicit FE solver Nike3D (34). Material constants for muscle (Table 1) were defined based on a recent study of along-fiber extension, cross-fiber extension, and along-fiber shear experiments in muscle tissue (32). Peak isometric stress (i.e., specific tension) was taken from a study on the maximum force-generating capacity of fibers in human vastus lateralis muscle (43). Connective tissue parameters (Table 1) were derived from linear moduli and stress–strain values reported from experiments in tendon (10).

**Comparison with dynamic imaging.** We previously measured local tissue strains in the BFh muscle using a dynamic MRI technique (16). The study reported first principal
strain in muscle tissue adjacent to the proximal MTJ during passive lengthening and during active lengthening of the BFh (for $N = 13$ healthy subjects). To compare in vivo measurements and model predictions, we generated a separate finite-element computational mesh based on musculotendon dimensions of the subjects in the dynamic imaging study (Fig. 1B). Simulation boundary conditions were defined based on measurements while subjects were exercising in an MR-compatible device that induced lengthening contractions in the hamstrings muscles (45). Because the dynamic MR technique measured displacement in muscle tissue, the muscle–tendon length change boundary condition was applied to the distal MTJ while the proximal end was held fixed. Forward dynamic simulations of subjects exercising in the MR-compatible device were used to define the temporal variation in muscle activation (45,48).

**Simulations of sprinting.** Muscle activation and musculotendon length change for sprinting were defined based on measured joint kinematics and forward dynamic simulations of sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed (Fig. 1B) (11). In a previous study, the forward dynamic simulation framework has been shown to predict similar timing between EMG activity and model predictions for the lateral hamstring muscles (12). A computed muscle control algorithm (48) was used to determine muscle excitation patterns such that the forward dynamic model closely matched joint kinematics measured in 19 athletes during treadmill sprinting (11). To account for muscle redundancy, we used numerical optimization to minimize the sum of squared weighted contractile element forces. Constraints on the timing of muscle excitation were added to ensure that excitations were minimal when EMG data indicated low levels of activation. Muscle–tendon length change and muscle activations were averaged across all subjects. Average activation and length change trajectories at each speed were then applied as boundary conditions to the muscle and the distal end of the computational mesh, respectively. To apply the appropriate amount of MTU length change relative to the length of the MTU, the finite-element simulation began at the muscle–tendon length measured on MR images, which corresponded to the midswing, or 65% of the gait cycle, and proceeded to foot contact, or 100% of the gait cycle.

**Simulation analysis.** For each simulation, model predictions of whole muscle fiber length change and local fiber strain were analyzed. To determine whole-fiber length change from simulations, we mapped representative muscle fibers through the computational mesh and tracked throughout the simulation (4). To find local fiber strain, we tracked 61 points along each fiber throughout the finite-element simulation, which permitted values for local fiber strain to be calculated at 60 intrafiber segments along each representative muscle fiber. Local fiber strain was calculated as the difference in intrafiber segment length at each time in the simulation and the original segment length divided by the original length. Local fiber strain is a measure of spatially varying engineering strain. For lengthening, strain is greater than zero. For shortening, strain is less than zero. On the basis of the local fiber strain data, the peak local strain for each fiber was found at each time step in the simulation, and the peak local fiber strain for each fiber was averaged at each sprinting speed. In addition, to assess the amount of strain nonuniformity, peak local fiber strain for each fiber was plotted against the strain of the fiber, where values further away from unity represent more nonuniform strain distributions. Spatially varying fiber strain was analyzed to assess which region(s) of the muscle experienced the largest fiber strain. Fiber strain was averaged in three evenly spaced regions to quantify fiber strain in the muscle tissue near the proximal MTJ, in the middle of the muscle and near the distal MTJ. At the overall peak strain for each speed, a local fiber strain histogram plot demonstrates how much of the muscle was undergoing relatively larger strains.

**RESULTS**

The model simulation of dynamic MR experiments compared favorably with the experimental measures of tissue strain (Fig. 2). The model predicted first principal strains in

---

**TABLE 1. Model inputs.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Proximal Tendon Length</th>
<th>Proximal aponeurosis Thickness</th>
<th>Connective Tissue</th>
<th>Distal aponeurosis Thickness</th>
<th>Distal Tendon Length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>ML Width</td>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Width</td>
<td>Thickness</td>
<td>Length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>28.55</td>
<td>(0.58)</td>
<td>(3.78)</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Musculotendon measurements were taken from high-resolution axial plane MR images and averaged across 14 track-and-field athletes of the University of Virginia. Musculotendon measurements were used to define the geometry of the computational mesh. Material parameters were defined for implementation in the transversely isotropic, hyperelastic, and quasi-incompressible material model detailed by Biermann et al. (3). AP, anterior-posterior; ML, medial-lateral; $\sigma_{\text{max}}$, peak isometric stress; P1, along-fiber extension multiplicative modulus; P2, along-fiber extension exponential modulus; $A_{\text{fl}}$, along-fiber stretch at optimal fiber length; $\lambda^*$, stretch at which stress–strain relationship becomes linear; $A_{\text{p}}$, exponential shear modulus (42); $G_{\text{fl}}$, along-fiber shear modulus; $G_{\text{c}}$, cross-fiber shear modulus; $K$, bulk modulus.

---

**TABLE 2. Material parameters.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>Dimensionless</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{eff}}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{fl}}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda^*$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{\text{fl}}$</td>
<td>$3.87 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{\text{c}}$</td>
<td>$2.24 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{\text{c}}$</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^2$</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>$10^5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE 3. Simulation analysis.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{eff}}$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda^*$</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{fl}}$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{\text{fl}}$</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{\text{c}}$</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{\text{c}}$</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^5$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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muscle tissue adjacent to the proximal MTJ that were within an SD of measurements during both passive lengthening (0.13 ± 0.06 for MR measurements) and active lengthening (0.22 ± 0.09 for MR measurements) (SD represents variability across imaging subjects). The similar magnitudes of the first principal strain demonstrate the computational model’s ability to replicate muscle tissue strains experienced in vivo.

Sprinting simulations showed that whole-fiber length change was nonuniform throughout the BFh muscle and peaked before foot contact (Fig. 3A). Maximum whole-fiber length change averaged across all representative fibers was 2.7 ± 0.1, 3.2 ± 0.1, and 3.3 ± 0.2 cm for maximum MTU lengthening of 3.9, 4.6, and 4.8 cm at maximum sprinting speeds of 70%, 85%, and 100%, respectively. The maximum length change of muscle fibers relative to the length change of the MTU was 0.68, 0.70, and 0.68 at 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed. The strain of the entire MTU was 0.09, 0.10, and 0.11 at 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed. Maximum whole-fiber length change averaged across all fibers was 0.24 ± 0.01, 0.29 ± 0.01, and 0.29 ± 0.2 and was at most 0.27, 0.32, and 0.34 for 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed, respectively.

Peak local fiber strain was nonuniform throughout the BFh muscle, reached a maximum before foot contact, and increased with sprinting speed (Fig. 3B). Averaged over all fibers, peak local fiber strain was 0.46 ± 0.08, 0.55 ± 0.09, and 0.59 ± 0.09, with a maximum of 0.56, 0.67, and 0.72, for sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed, respectively. The average peak local fiber strain also increased after normalization by the strain of the MTU (5.20, 5.31, and 5.48 for sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed) and was highest at the fastest sprinting speed after normalization by the average strain of the whole muscle fibers (1.93, 1.91, and 2.01 for sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed). Maximum peak local strain also increased with sprinting speed after normalization by MTU strain (6.41, 6.49, and 6.67 for sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed) and was highest at the fastest speed after normalization by the maximum whole-fiber strain (2.08, 2.06, and 2.15 for sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed).

To assess the uniformity of local fiber strain and the dependency on the strain of the whole fiber, we plotted peak local fiber strain as a function of whole-fiber strain (Fig. 3C). The average distance to the unity line was 0.17 ± 0.05, 0.20 ± 0.07, and 0.22 ± 0.06 for sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% maximum speed, indicating that local fiber strain becomes more nonuniform with increasing sprinting speed. For comparison, the distance to the unity line was also calculated for simulations of passive and active lengthening MR experiments and was found to be 0.02 ± 0.01 and 0.05 ± 0.01 for passive and active lengthening, respectively.

Fiber strain distribution was found to be nonuniform at each sprinting speed and for MR-based simulations, with the largest fiber strain along the proximal MTJ and decreasing with distance toward the distal MTJ (Fig. 4). Average fiber strain near the proximal MTJ, in the middle of the muscle fibers, and near the distal MTJ increased with sprinting speed, with the largest increases in the muscle tissue near the proximal MTJ. In addition, as sprinting speed increased, a larger portion of the muscle experienced larger local fiber strains, as demonstrated by bigger bins at larger strain in a histogram plot of local fiber strain (Fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Finite-element model simulations accurately predicted local muscle tissue strains when compared with measurements in dynamic MR experiments, demonstrating the model’s ability to predict muscle tissue strains experienced in vivo. Simulations of sprinting demonstrated increased BFh peak local fiber strain and higher fiber strain nonuniformity at faster sprinting speeds. Whole-fiber length change relative to MTU length change remained relatively constant with increasing speed; however, the peak local fiber strain relative to the strain of the MTU increased with speed, and the peak local fiber strain relative to the strain of whole muscle fibers was highest at the fastest speed. These results offer new insights into the deformation of muscle tissue during sprinting and provide a possible explanation for muscle tissue’s increased strain injury susceptibility at faster speeds.

This is the first study to provide direct insight into localized muscle tissue strain in the oft-injured hamstring muscles during sprinting because measuring local muscle tissue mechanics while sprinting is not yet possible, and marker-based studies of sprinting gait only provide information.
FIGURE 3—Fiber length change, local peak strain, and local peak strain relative to whole-fiber strain. Whole-fiber length change in the FE computational model (muscle fibers) was nonuniform throughout the BFh muscle and increased with sprinting speed (A) (representative fibers are plotted as individual lines). The boundary condition for MTU length change was plotted for comparison (MTU). Peak local along-fiber strain was found for each representative muscle fiber (B). To assess the uniformity of fiber strain while sprinting and during dynamic MR experiments, we plotted local peak fiber strain as a function of whole-fiber strain for each representative fiber (C). Values on the unity line represent perfectly uniform strain distribution along the fiber.
about the behavior of the entire MTU. In the current study, we combined forward dynamic simulation output with finite-element modeling to study the effect of increased sprinting speed on local muscle fiber strain. Previous forward dynamic simulations of sprinting matched marker measurements of joint kinematics by driving a lumped parameter muscle–tendon model with activations (48), or an inverse dynamics approach has been used along with static optimization to derive MTU results from calculated joint torques (40). Marker-based studies of sprinting have shown that the BFm MTU does more work with increasing sprinting speed (11,39); however, insights into the behavior of the MTU only provide a more global mechanism for muscle injury. The power of the modeling approach described in this paper is that the output of the computational model is spatially varying tissue strain, which can identify regions of large localized tissue strain and test hypotheses about what factors lead to increases in strain injury susceptibility.

At all speeds, the computational model found that local fiber strain was nonuniform throughout the BFm muscle and highest along the proximal MTJ (Fig. 4), which corresponds to the most frequent injury location in the BFm (1,46). Large localized fiber strain where injury is frequently observed supports the hypothesis that large localized tissue strain is the injury mechanism in lengthening contractions during sprinting. Experimental studies in humans have also measured regionally varying muscle tissue strain (52) and higher strain closer to the proximal MTJ in the BFm during lengthening contractions (44). In all three evenly spaced regions along the muscle fiber, sprinting simulations showed that the average magnitude of fiber strain increased with increasing speed, with the largest increases in the muscle tissue near the proximal MTJ. These results are in agreement with sono-micrometry experiments in guinea fowl that found local tissue strain increased with running speed, and increases were the largest in regions that experienced the largest strains at slower speeds (9). The current study’s results are the first to demonstrate increased muscle tissue strain with sprinting speed in humans, which is important because the BFm’s increased injury susceptibility with sprinting speed has yet to be explained on the local muscle tissue level.

Model simulations found that peak local fiber strain was nonuniform throughout the BFm and occurred during the late swing phase of sprinting (before foot contact) (Fig. 3B), which corresponds well with the timing of acute strain injury observed during sprinting kinematics studies (18,38). The amount of strain nonuniformity increased with sprinting speed, as shown by the larger in difference normalized peak local fiber strain and whole-fiber strain in Figure 3C (0.17, 0.20, and 0.22 for sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% maximum speed). Furthermore, as sprinting speed increased, more of the muscle experienced higher fiber strain, which is shown by the relatively larger bins at larger fiber strains in the histogram in Figure 5. If acute muscle strain injury is sustained by tissue strain crossing an injury threshold, as suggested by injury after single active stretches in animal experiments (17), more of the muscle will be susceptible to injury at faster speeds. Similarly, if muscle injury occurs after the accumulation of damage to muscle tissue during an

FIGURE 4—Along-fiber strain distribution. Along-fiber strain distribution was analyzed at the time of maximum local strain and was found to be nonuniform throughout the BFm muscle (shown in longitudinal cross section). Color maps of along-fiber strain distribution are shown for simulations of passive and active lengthening during dynamic MR experiments and for sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed. Along-fiber strain was averaged in three evenly spaced regions along the muscle fibers from the proximal MTJ to the distal MTJ. Average along-fiber strain was found to decrease as a function of distance from the proximal MTJ at each speed and to increase as a function of speed in each region.
activity, as suggested by stretch–shortening cycles in animals over physiological ranges (8), more muscle tissue undergoing larger tissue strain will increase muscle’s strain injury susceptibility at faster sprinting speeds.

The observed increase in strain nonuniformity and peak local strain was a result of higher muscle activations at faster speeds. The primary factor that leads to this phenomenon is the converging of the BFh’s longitudinal cross-sectional area near the proximal MTJ. The region of the muscle tissue near the proximal MTJ is smaller in the cross-sectional area than that in the neighboring regions in the middle of the muscle and near the distal MTJ. The relatively larger cross-sectional areas of adjacent tissue generate more force for a given amount of muscle activation, and the larger forces must be balanced by forces generated in tissue near the proximal MTJ. Given the smaller cross-sectional area, the muscle tissue near the proximal MTJ will experience higher stresses and as a result undergo greater strain than neighboring tissue. Higher levels of activation at faster sprinting speeds will exacerbate this effect because of the muscle’s nonlinear force–length relationship, and tissue near the proximal MTJ will strain even more. More specifically, at higher activation levels, the active force–length curve will influence the total force–length curve more, and given that strains in these simulations occur on the descending limb of the force curve, relatively more strain will be necessary for a given increase in stress.

Animal experiments are able to relate directly the amount of strain and damage to muscle tissue. A study in rabbit extensor digitorum longus MTU found that an active strain of 0.15 (of the entire MTU) was necessary to detect damage (17), although the threshold for muscle damage from imposed strain has not been consistent in the literature. Other studies found that much higher amounts of active MTU strain are necessary to produce damage (e.g., 0.30 strain in Brooks et al. [5]). Both thresholds are larger than the amount of MTU strain in this study (0.11 for sprinting at 100% maximum speed). On the local level, a previous study measured local mechanical strain during passive extension of animal MTU, and muscle tissue was shown to suffer damage at a local mechanical strain of 0.61 (2). The maximum peak local strain in the current modeling study was 0.72 at 100% maximum sprinting speed.

FIGURE 5—Histogram of along-fiber strain. Local along-fiber strain was calculated for 60 intrafiber segments along 180 fibers and was binned according to amount of strain. Histograms are shown for simulations of passive and active lengthening during dynamic MR experiments (top row) and for sprinting at 70%, 85%, and 100% of maximum speed (bottom row). Bigger bins to the right indicate a higher portion of the muscle undergoing larger strains.
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like animal MTU studies, and therefore, caution should be
taken when directly comparing the results of the current
modeling study to those of animal studies.

The relative amount of muscle fiber strain to MTU strain
in sprinting simulations was slightly larger than observations
in active lengthening of intact rabbit MTUs. Sprinting sim-
ulations had 2.7 times more fiber strain than MTU strain for
100% maximum speed, and rabbit MTU lengthening ex-
periments showed approximately 2.6 times more fiber strain
than MTU strain averaged over the course of many strain
shorten cycles (7). As demonstrated by the same study, the
relative amount of muscle fiber strain in animal MTU ex-
periments depends on the reference length (7). In addition,
the muscle–tendon architecture, the magnitude of length
change, and the amount of activation will alter the amount of
muscle fiber strain relative to MTU strain. It would also be
expected that, relative to the submaximal activations in the
current study, maximally activated muscle in animal studies
will generate more force and cause more tendon stretch for a
given MTU lengthening and result in relatively less muscle
fiber strain. In any case, the results presented here demon-
strate that the magnitude of MTU strain (0.11) is not repre-
sentative of the amount of muscle fiber strain (0.29 ± 0.02
for sprinting at 100% maximum), which is also not repre-
sentative of the amount of local fiber strain (peak = 0.59 ±
0.09 for sprinting at 100% maximum speed).

Although the magnitudes differed, the shape of the curves for
MTU length change, whole-fiber length change, and peak local
along-fiber strain was qualitatively similar with only a shift of
peak local along-fiber strain relative to MTU and whole-fiber
length change (Figs. 3A and 3B). The shift was speed de-
dendent, with maximum local along-fiber strain occurring slightly
later for faster speeds, suggesting that the timing between ac-
tivation and MTU length could have an effect on the timing of
peak local strain. Sonomicrometry experiments in animals
(6,30,36) and ultrasound experiments in humans (21) have
observed a much larger timing difference between MTU and
fiber dynamics. These experiments, however, were performed
during different tasks, on different muscles, and with different
relative timings between muscle activation and MTU length
change. Moreover, the shape of the MTU length change and
activation curves likely differed from the current study, making
a direct comparison between studies more difficult.

The computational model’s material parameters incorpo-
rated recent measurements in muscle (32) and connective
tissue (10). A sensitivity analysis of material parameters
showed that the shear properties of tendon had the least ef-
fect on muscle fiber strain and peak local tissue strain, with
twice as stiff and twice as compliant tendon shear moduli
resulting in a less than 1% change in peak local fiber strain.
On the other hand, doubling the along-fiber stiffness of
tendon had a larger influence on muscle tissue strain, with
twice as stiff along-fiber extension moduli (P1 and P2),
yielding an 11% increase in peak along-fiber strain. The
dependence of muscle fiber strain on tendon stiffness has also
been observed in forward dynamic simulations of
running (47). It should be noted that the choice of material
model affects the strain magnitude and distribution of model
predictions. Specifically, the anisotropy of intramuscular
tissues can introduce anisotropy in the transverse direc-
tion (41), which has been suggested in a previous two-
dimensional modeling study of a pennate MTU to influence
strain (20). With respect to the current study’s results, in-
roducing transverse anisotropy would likely not influence
our conclusions because our sensitivity analysis had varied
along-fiber shear modulus—which would be affected di-
rectly by inducing transverse anisotropy—and the strain
distribution and magnitude was not changed.

Of all the parameters, peak isometric stress had the big-
gest influence on peak local fiber strain, with a 50% increase
in peak isometric stress resulting in an increase of 13% in
peak along-fiber strain. It should be noted that peak iso-
metric stress is an intrinsic property of muscle fibers that
may not necessarily change with training. Training likely
alters neuromuscular coordination (i.e., the magnitude and
timing of muscle activation and muscle–tendon length
change) and the amount of muscle tissue available for gen-
erating force (i.e., muscle hypertrophy) rather than muscle’s
intrinsic ability to generate force. Muscle’s intrinsic ability
to generate force could be changed by altering fiber type
composition, given that different fiber types exhibit different
levels of peak isometric stress (43). Although the model’s
results were sensitive to perturbations in certain material
parameters, the values that were used yielded results that
match experimental data, which inspires confidence in the
model’s ability to replicate tissue strains experienced in vivo.
Furthermore, the conclusions of this study regarding in-
creases in tissue strain at faster sprinting speeds were not
altered by changes in the model’s material parameters.

The current study’s model results help fill the gap in
knowledge between whole-body kinematics studies and an-
imal muscle fiber experiments by predicting local fiber
strain during physiological muscle activations and muscle-
tendon length changes. This approach can be used in future
studies of tissue-level muscle function during movements
that cannot be imaged directly or measured with joint-level
kinematic studies. A future application of this modeling
framework would be to generate finite-element models
based on the range of musculotendon dimensions rather than
using one mesh of the average dimensions of all subjects, as
previous modeling study (35) and imaging experiments
(16,44) have found a connection between musculotendon
morphology and internal muscle tissue strains. In addition,
an anatomical study has found variable thickness of the BF1h
muscle along its length (23), which could influence strain
experienced by muscle. However, a previous modeling
study that included variable muscle thickness simulated ac-
tive lengthening of the BF1h and found a similar strain dis-
tribution as the current study (35). In the present study, our
goal was to address the effects of increased running speed
and not the influence of subject musculotendon dimensions.
An additional consideration in future studies would be to
collect dynamic MR images, musculotendon dimensions, motion capture, and EMG data on the same subjects rather than incorporate data from different sources like in the current study. This approach would also permit further validation of the modeling approach, where subject-specific FE model simulations with individualized boundary conditions could be performed for each subject and validated against subject-specific strain measurements.

In addition, the reference configuration for the current study was in the middle of the swing phase of sprinting because the muscle–tendon length measured on MR images corresponded to the MTU length at 65% of the sprinting gait cycle in forward dynamic simulations; future simulations starting from an earlier point in the gait cycle might find a different absolute magnitude of fiber strain and relative change with speed. Moreover, similar changes might accompany simulations that continue until later points in the gait cycle, such as through the stance phase, where high amounts of BFh activation have been measured (22,26,31), and it has been suggested as a time when the hamstring muscles may be vulnerable to injury (33). Future simulation efforts should also investigate a wider range of speeds to further elucidate the connection between muscle tissue strain and sprinting speed, as a couple of normalized values (average peak local fiber strain normalized by average strain of whole muscle fibers and maximum peak local strain normalized by maximum whole-fiber strain) were lower at 85% than 70% (although all values were highest at 100% maximum speed).

An additional limitation to the current approach is that the effects of contraction velocity and passive viscoelasticity were not included in the constitutive formulation. Future model developments include adding these effects in the constitutive equation, which will possibly alter changes with speed, including the relative magnitude strain and the timing between activation, MTU lengthening, and local fiber strain. Lastly, the displacement boundary condition for the simulations in this study was applied to the distal end while holding the proximal end fixed, which was based on the length change output from forward dynamic simulations. Applying separate length changes to the MTU at the knee and hip to incorporate simultaneous joint angle changes would result in the same strain distributions because the computational model does not take into account inertial effects and the MTU is not mechanically coupled with neighboring muscles.

To summarize, we developed a unique approach to reveal the tissue-level behavior of the oft-injured BFh muscle during sprinting. Forward dynamic simulation output of muscle activation and muscle–tendon length change were incorporated into a finite-element model of sprinting at faster speeds. The models showed that as sprinting speed increases, so does peak local fiber strain, fiber strain nonuniformity, and the amount of muscle tissue that undergoes larger strain. Increases in peak local fiber strain are attributed to the converging of the BFh cross-sectional area near the proximal MTJ and increases in muscle activation at faster sprinting speed. Increased peak local fiber strain in the muscle tissue where injury is often found provides additional evidence for the hypothesis that localized fiber strain is the injury mechanism during lengthening contractions. Larger peak fiber strain, higher fiber strain nonuniformity, and higher percentage of the muscle undergoing larger strain provide evidence that may explain muscle’s increased strain injury susceptibility at faster sprinting speeds and in high-speed sports.

With the current modeling approach, future model simulations can aid in the design and vetting of potential neuromuscular coordination strategies for reducing strain injury incidence by testing which strategies reduce localized muscle tissue strain. Furthermore, with a better understanding of the connection between neuromuscular strategies and increased strain injury susceptibility at faster sprinting speeds, future training programs can be designed to limit the variables that lead to increased injury susceptibility and reduce the number of acute muscle strain injuries.
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